ψ

Research Insights into How Instructors Design and Deliver MOOCs to Facilitate Participant Self-monitoring



Meina Zhu meinazhu@wayne.edu| Wayne State University Curtis J. Bonk cjbonk@indiana.edu| Indiana University Bloomington

Research Theme #1

- Theme #1: Meina Zhu, Annisa Sari, Ying Tang (a visiting scholar in Hong Kong...now post-doc in Informatics), and I have spent four years developing a unique database of over 3,300 massive open online course (MOOC) instructors from around the world.
- We have mined by looking at MOOC instructor motivation (why do they do this?), personalization practices, cultural sensitivity, gamification practices, instructional design challenges, pedagogical practices, how they foster learner self-directed learning, professional development (how are they trained), engagement and altruistic behaviors, etc.

Research Theme #2

• Theme #2: Meina and Annisa are also working with Mimi Lee (an IST alum at the U of Houston) to do a systematic comprehensive review of ALL MOOC research in SCOPUS database and other journals not in SCOPUS since inception (nearly 500 studies) (2009-2019). I am supervising the project and mentoring them so they learn to publish without my name attached.

Outline

- 1. Research Background
- 2. Research Purpose and Questions
- 3. Research Design
- 4. Results
- 5. Discussion, Conclusion, Limitations,
- and Implications



Key Terms

Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs)

Coined by David Cormier from Canada when he referred to the Connectivism and Connective Knowledge course (de Freitas et al., 2015; Fini, 2009)



Key Terms

"Massive"

The large number of learners enrolled in a MOOC. One study revealed that the median number of learners in a MOOC was around 8,000 (Chuang & Ho, 2016) at the time of the study.



Key Terms

"Open"

Access to the course content. Usually, learners enroll in MOOCs with minimal requirements; once enrolled, they can obtain all course resources, interact with peers, and share their knowledge with classmates (Daniel, 2012; Kop, 2011; McAuley, Stewart, Siemens, & Cormier, 2010).









MOOC Degree



M	aster of Public Health, University of Michigan via Coursera
Tet	al Cost: \$44,520 (may be lower for Michigan residents)
Du	ration: 20-22 months
Gh	obal Master of Public Health, Imperial College London via Coursera
Tot	al Cost: \$25,000 (lower for UK residents)
Du	ration: 24 months
M	ister of Development and Humanitarian Action, Deokin University vio
- Fu	tureLearn
Tot	al Cost: \$8,158 (\$11,490 AUD)
Du	ration: 12 months (full time)
M	ic Nursing, Coventry University via FutureLearn
Tot	al Cost: \$16.125 (12.500 GBP)
Du	ration: 24-60 months
M	ic Disaster Management and Resilience, Coventry University via FutureLearn
Tot	al Cost: 517,222 (13,350-GBP)
Du	ration: 24-60 months
M	ic Emergency Management and Resilience, Coventry University via
Put Put	tureLearn
Tet	al Cost: \$17,222 (13,350 GBP)
Du	ration: 24-60 months
84	chelor's Degrees
The	advent of MOOC-based bachelor's degrees is so recent that only two of them currently
exi	st, and the coursework for these degrees isn't yet fully developed. The University of

Institution	edX Master's Degree	Online Cost (USD)	Duration
Curtin University, Australia	Marketing	\$22,366	1.5-3 year
Georgia Institute of Technology	Cybersecurity	\$9,920	2-3 years
Georgia Institute of Technology	Analytics	\$9,900	1-3 years
Indiana University	IT management	\$21,000	1.25-3 yea
Indiana University	Accounting	\$21,000	1.25-3 yea
University of California, San Diego	Data science	\$15,000	1-3 years
University of Queensland, AustraliaLe	adership: service innovatio	n \$18,156	2 years
University of Texas at Austin	Computer science	\$10,000	1.5-3 year









Research Background

- Learners need self-directed learning skills and strategies to be successful in MOOCs (Kop & Fournier, 2010; Littlejohn, Hood, Milligan, & Mustain, 2016), as there is a lack of personalized interaction with teachers.
- Self-monitoring, as one of the SDL skills, can improve learning performance (Chang, 2007; Coleman & Webber, 2002).
- Teaching self-monitoring skills will benefit learners (e.g., Delclos & Harrington, 1991; Maag et al., 1992; Malone & Mastropieri, 1991; Schunk, 1982).

Research Background

However, few studies have examined instructional design and the delivery
of instruction using MOOCs from instructor perspectives (Zhu, Sari, & Lee,
2018; Margaryan et al., 2015; Watson et al., 2016): especially lacking is research
on instructors' perception of student self-monitoring and how
they design MOOCs to facilitate student self-monitoring.

Research Purpose and Questions

Research Purpose

 This study is to inform instructors or instructional designers and MOOC providers of the current practices of designing and delivering MOOCs to facilitate student self-monitoring by finding out how the instructors who are concerned with facilitating self-monitoring skills put these considerations into MOOC design.



Research Questions

- 1. How do MOOC instructors design and deliver their MOOCs to facilitate students' selfmonitoring skills?
- 2. How are various technologies employed to support MOOC learners' self-monitoring skills?

Research Design



Data Collections

Survey:

- · Volunteer sampling (Creswell & Plano-Clark, 2017)
- 198 instructors responded to the survey (18% response rate)

Interview:

- · Homogeneous purposeful sampling (Creswell & Plano-Clark, 2017; Patton, 2002)
- Maximal variation sampling (Creswell & Plano-Clark, 2017)
- 22 interviewees via Zoom
- Document analysis:
- Reviewed 22 interviewees' MOOCs

Pseudonym	Country	Subject area	Platform	Gender	No. of O/B	No. of M	Mode of the M	
lucas	US	Social science	edX	м	0	1	I without T	
Branden	US	Education	Udacity	м	0	5 or more	Self-paced	
Logan	US	Literacy and Language	Coursera	м	5 or more	5 or more	I with T	
Emma	US	Literacy and Language	Coursera	F	2	1	Self-paced	
lason	US	Science	edX	м	1	1	l with T	
lackson	US	Medicine and health	Coursera	м	5 or more	1	Self-paced	
Samuel	US	Education	FutureLearn	м	4	3	Self-paced	
Hannah	US	Education	Blackboard	F	5 or more	1	l with T	
Ashley	US	Education	EdX	F	0	5 or more	I with T	
Andrew	ик	Art	FutureLearn	м	0	3	l with T	
Emily	ик	Medicine and health	FutureLearn	F	2	2	l with T	
Niden	ик	Social science	FutureLearn	м	0	1	Self-paced	
Henry	ик	Social science	FutureLearn	м	0	1	Self-paced	
loseph	ик	Medicine and health	FutureLearn	м	1	1	Self-paced	
loshua	ик	Literacy and language	FutureLearn	м	2	2	I with T	
Mason	Australia	Education	Coursera	м	5 or more	1	I with T	
Ethan	Australia	Business	Coursera	м	3	1	I without T	
Ben	Australia	Social science	edX	м	1	1	I with T	
Paul	France	Computer science	Coursera	м	1	1	I with T	
Fernando	Belgium	Research methods	Blackboard	м	5 or more	3	I with T	
lacob	Netherland	Science	Coursera	м	0	1	I with T	

Dat	a Analysi	s		
RQs	Data Sources	Data analysis	Tools	
504	Survey	Descriptive statistics	SPSS	
RQ1	Interview	Content analysis (Leech & Onwuegbuzie, 2007)	NVivo	
BO3	Survey	Descriptive statistics	SPSS	
RQZ	Interview	Content analysis	NVivo	
	Interview	Content analysis	NVivo	
RQ3	Document	Content analysis	NVivo	
	analysis			
				28

Trustworthiness

- 1. Validity survey: Experts review, think-aloud interview, and pilot test (PCA) 2. Reliability survey: Pilot test and internal consistency reliability (Cronbach
- alpha) 3. Triangulation: Data sources, researchers, and methods
- 4. Member checks: Interview transcriptions
- 5. Peer debriefing: Committee and colleagues
- 6. Researcher reflexivity: Constant reflection and be forthright with our positions 7. Thick description: Report the context, data sources, and analyses in
- detail
- 8. Prolonged engagement: Immerse in instructors' MOOCs before the interview and continue reviewing the MOOCs after the interview





RQ1 Design and the Delivery of MOOCs to Facilitate Student Self-Monitoring Skills

Table 1 Mean Score and Standard Deviation of the Specific Self-Monitoring Skills that the Participants' MOOC Facilitate

Items	Mean	SD
1. helps the student be in control of his/her learning	4.15	0.55
1. helps the student set his/her own learning goals	3.68	0.91
1. helps the student evaluate his/her own performance	3.94	0.78
1. helps the student be responsible for his/her learning	4.06	0.79
1. helps the student be able to focus on a problem	3.87	0.74
1. helps the student be able to find out information related to learning content for him/herself	4.02	0.70
1. helps the student have high beliefs in his/her abilities of learning	3.73	0.74
		22

RQ1 Strategies to Facilitate Self-monitoring

 Both internal feedback and external feedback were provided to help students' self-monitoring.

Self-moni	toring	Strategies
Internal	Cognition	MOOC instructors provided $\ensuremath{\textbf{quizzes}}$ for self-assessment, $\ensuremath{\textbf{tutorial}}$ on
feedback		technology use, learning advice, learning aids, and instructional modeling, etc.
	Meta-cog	MOOC instructors encouraged students to reflect and think critically by providing reflection questions and building learning community.
External		MOOC instructors, teaching assistants, and peers were involved in providing
feedback		external feedback.

RQ1 Strategies to Facilitate Self-monitoring

Cognition

• Lucas, a social science instructor stated:

I do think **frequent quizzes** and somewhat lengthy quizzes are really helpful... It makes the whole thing hang together as a unit. So, I gave a

little quizzes at the end of my videos.

What is the following probability? $P(t_{10} \ge 2)$ Note more used to refer to the operation probability rable:		
https://www.aperintro.org/download.oho/fiferes2.prob.table	s&referrer+coursera.oho	
0 0.0455		
0.0509		
0 0.0734		
	Skip Submit	
To Save Note: Discuss Download V	ACE	

RQ1 Strategies to Facilitate Self-monitoring

- Metacognition
 - A science instructor from the US, Samuel, utilized weekly questions to foster self-monitoring and reflection in his MOOC. As he stated:
 We do ask, kind of, a summary discussion question at the end of the week.
 I'll ask: "What did you learn? How do you feel about that? How would this apply to a real-world application?" So, we asked those kind[s] of reflection questions.

RQ1 Strategies to Facilitate Self-monitoring

External Feedback-Instructor Feedback

 Joseph from the UK provided feedback through panels or lectures. As Joseph explained:

We have [a] discussion moderator, who was also in that space talking to

students. So, we try to engage students on some of those points, and

question some of the things that they're saying. Maybe get them to reflect.

RQ1 Strategies to Facilitate Self-monitoring

• External Feedback-Peer Feedback

Emma, encouraged learners to provide feedback to their peers. As she observed:

We also put in peer evaluation because the interaction between students would motivate them. We give a very, very basic syllabus because we don't know what the educational background and the levels of the students. We gave them five different key points to enable them to evaluate other students on assignment.



DISCUSSION FORUMS	
General Discussion	
Use this forum to discuss things related to the course that don't belong in any of the other forums. Last post 2 days ago	
Meet and Greet	
Introduce yourself and say hello to your fellow classmates!	





Limitations

- This study did not include MOOC instructors whose MOOCs were not delivered in English
- · Low response rate
- We could not verify whether the strategies that MOOC instructors reported were **effective or not**

Discussion and Conclusion

- Facilitate Self-monitoring through self-assessment: Such results concurred with the findings reported a few years ago by Kulkarni et al. (2013).
- Facilitate Self-monitoring through fostering reflection: the results are in line with the implications of a study by Parker et al. (1995) and Schraw (1998).
- External feedback (instructor, TAs, and peers) motivates students as well as helps learners with their self-monitoring.
- Tech for Self-monitoring: These technologies included: (1) synchronous communication technologies, (2) asynchronous communication technologies, and (3) feedback tools. The results confirm with the findings of Blaschke (2012) and Junco, Heiberger, and Loken (2010) that social media can support students SDL.

Implications for Future Research and Practice

- Future research might want to explore different forms of instructional scaffolds and supports for self-monitoring
- Educators might want to design and evaluate innovative training programs for SDL in this age of massively open online teaching and learning
- Designers of MOOC platforms as well as MOOC vendors might evaluate MOOC retention and completion rates resulting from the introduction of new technology tools and features for self-monitoring, self-management, and motivation



Follow-up study (in process)

- **MOOC Student Perceptions of Effective SDL Strategies**
- Survey (n = 314)
- Interview MOOC students
- Document analysis (MOOC course review)



Meina Zhu meinazhu@wayne.edu| Wayne State University

Curtis J. Bonk cjbonk@indiana.edu| Indiana University Bloomington